32	EVALUATION (F INSTRUCTION	PROGRAM			-	171-	7	12.50
RM A STANDARD		COURSE INFOR	{MAHON	COLUMN THE PARTY OF	RSE I				
	OUND INFORMATION		3	18	-	34	-	91	0
Year in School	Sonhomore Munior		,	0 0		0 0		0 0	
Freshman	Sophomore Sunior Other	On A.B. INSTRUCT	Nassal 1	1		1 1		1 0	
Senior	Graduate	INSTRUCT	OR 2	2 2	2	2 2			
UCLA GPA	2.0 - 2.49 2.5 - 2.99			3 3	3	4	11	7	
Below 2.0	2.0 2.40 Not	Physics &	A 4	4 4	4	-		5 5	
3.0 - 3.49	3.5 + established	COURS	E 5	5 5	5	5 5		6	
Expected Grade	6 c ○ D ○ F		6	1	6			-	7 7
O A O B	\(\frac{1}{2}\)	3150			7	7 7			8 8
OP ONP	nts does this course fulfill?	DATE	8		8	9 9			9 9
Major C	Related Field . G.E. None		9	9 9	10	0 0			and Samuel
iviajoi	Titolaces								
	USE NO. 2 PENCIL ONL	Y			_≥			x	ays
	USE NO. 27 ENGLE	Student course ex	valuations provide	yve.	ent			를	Iw
Your careful ar	nd candid evaluation is important.	Student course co	de information for	ele S	nlos			anb	or A
feedback to the	e instructor to help improve teach	these evaluations a	re returned to the	<u> </u>				Fre	름
				le pp	5		age	ō	ery High or Alway
instructor and	rding the instructor and course on the	ne back of this sheet		Not Applicable Very Low or Nevel	ow or Infrequent		Average	High or Frequently	ery.
To What	Extent Do You Feel That: R CONCERN The instructor was co	oncerned about stud	lent learning.	NA 1	2 3	4	5 6	(7)	8
				-			2/4	\@\	8
	ION Class presentations were wel	I prepared and orga	nized.	NA 1	2 3) (4)	5 6	23.0	8
								7	8
- INTERACTIO	N Students felt welcome in seekii	ng help in or outside	e of class.	NA 1	2 3	4	5 0		
				500 (4	2 3	1	5 (7	8
O COMMINIC	CATION SKILLS The instructor had	good communication	on skills.	NA 1	7.6		3/ 6		
				NA 1	2 :	3 4	5	5 7	8
9 VALUE Yo	ou have learned something which yo	ou consider valuable		160 /					
				NA	1 2	3 4	5	6 7	8
10. OVERALL.	. Your overall rating of the instructor								
			The second secon	NA	1 2	3 4	5	6 7	8
11. OVERALL.	. Your overall rating of the course.								
				NA	1 2	3 4	5	6 7	8 9
12.							700		
				NA	1 2	3 4	5	6 7	8 9
13.						/\(\ /\.			0 0
				NA	1 2	3 4	5	6 /	8 9
14.				- 100		2 4		6 7	8 9
				NA	1) -2)	3 4) (3)	0 . ,	
15.									
YOUR	VIEW OF COURSE CHARACTERIS	STICS	⊘ -LOW	ME	EDIUM			HIG	Н
16 SUBJECT	INTEREST BEFORE COURSE	IN/A	LOW		EDIUM			HIG	Н
17. SUBJECT	INTEREST AFTER COURSE	○ N/A	LOW	_	EDIUN			HIG	Н
18 MASTERY	OF COURSE MATERIAL	N/A	LOW	-	EDIUN			HIG	
19. DIFFICULT	Y (RELATIVE TO OTHER COURSES)	○ N/A	TOO SLOW		BOUT		Γ	TO	O MUC
20. WORKLOA	AD/PACE WAS	N/A	POOR	400	/ERAG				CELLEN
21. TEXTS, RE	EQUIRED READINGS	N/A	POOR		/ERAG		-		CELLEN
22 HOMEWO	RK ASSIGNMENTS	N/A	POOR	-	/ERAG				CELLEN
23. GRADED I	MATERIALS, EXAMINATIONS	O N/A	POOR		VERAC		0		CELLEN
24. LECTURE	PRESENTATIONS	O N/A	POOR		VERAC		C	EX	CELLEN
25. CLASS DI	SCUSSIONS	N/A							

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, 200

I'm an English major whom God never intended to take Physics, but Dr. Nassar has made what seemed an inaccessarie discipline a really enjoyable experience. The man loves Physics, and I can't fight that mach spreak physics on I am very slad I wound up in this course.

	UN	ALUATION OF I	ISTRUCTION PE	ROGRAM		NEB
ORM A STANDARD			COURSE INFORM	THE R. P. LEWIS CO., LANSING MICH. LANSING MICH.	COURSE ID NUME	9 1 0
BACKGF	ROUND INFORMATION	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH			400	000
Year in School						
Freshman	Sophomore	Junior Othor	A.B. Nassal			2 2 2
Senior	Graduate	Other	INSTRUCTO	R 2		3 3 3
2. UCLA GPA		0.5.200	,			4 4 4
Below 2.0	2.0 - 2.49	2.5 - 2.99 Not	Physics 6 COURSE	A		5 (5 (5)
3.0 - 3.49	3.5 +	established	COURSE	5		6 6 6
3. Expected Grade	1190g <u>2</u> 0	OF				7 7 7
A B	\bigcirc C \bigcirc D	_/ [7/31/07 DATE	7		8 8 8
OP ONP	O ?	112	DATE	8		99
4. What requireme	ents does this course fulfi	None		9	9 9 9 9	Search States
Major	Related Field G.E	None				
					>	ske
	1.0191 = 1019	USE NO. 2 PENCIL ONLY	and the second	L tions provide	vot Applicable Jery Low or Never Low or Infrequenth Average	High or Frequently Very High or Alway
6.1.			udent course eva	aluations provide	Not Applicable Very Low or Never Low or Infrequent Average	que or A
	a inetricini lu licip i	1110.0	I Linno Ori	o refilling to the	ical or of of	를 듣
feedback to the	ne instructor to help i	ummaries of the	se evaluations an	and constructive	ppl own or li	ੇ ਤੋਂ ਤੋਂ
instructor and	tion and promotion. S	chair. Please pro	ack of this sheet.		Not Applicable Very Low or Nt Low or Infrequ Average	igh ery
comments reg	arding the matractor a		ACTION OF THE PARTY OF THE PART			
					NA 1 2 3 4 5	6 7 8 🜘
To Wha	t Extent Do You Fee OR CONCERN The in	structor was conc	erned about stude	ent learning.		
5. INSTRUCTO	JN CONOLINI		1 1	izod	NA (1) (2) (3) (4) (5)	6 7 8
ODC ANIIZA	TION Class presenta	tions were well pr	epared and organ	nzeu.		
6. ORGANIZA	IIIOIa olass į		- utaida	of class	NA 1 2 3 4 5	6 7 8
7 INITERACT	ION Students felt we	Icome in seeking	help in or outside	Of Class.		
/. INTERACT			I	n skills.	NA 1 2 3 4 5	6 (7) (8)
o COMMUN	ICATION SKILLS The	instructor had go	od communicatio	II Skine.		
8. COMMISSION			sidor valuable		NA 1 2 3 4 5	6 7 8
9 VALUE	You have learned some	ething which you o	consider variable.			2 0
					NA 1 (2) (3) (4) (5	6 7 8
10. OVERALL	Your overall rating o	of the instructor.				6 7 8
					NA 1 2 3 4 5	(0) 1/ (0)
11. OVERALL	Your overall rating o	of the course.			NA 1 2 3 4 5	6 7 8 9
				0.11	NA 1 2 3 4 3	
12.					NA 1 2 3 4 5	6 7 8 9
					NA 1 2 3	
13.					NA (1) (2) (3) (4)	6 7 8 9
					NA COS SO	
14.					NA 1 2 3 4	5 6 7 8 9
					NA 3/ 3/ 3/ 3/ 3/	
15.						
ı						
VOLU	R VIEW OF COURSE	CHARACTERIST	ICS	A LOW	MEDIUM	HIGH
YOU	T INTEREST BEFORE	COURSE		LOW	MEDIUM	HIGH
16. SUBJEC	T INTEREST AFTER CO	URSE	○ N/A	LOW	MEDIUM	# HIGH
OTEI	NY OF COLIRSE MALE	IIAL	N/A	LOW	MEDIUM	HIGH
18. MASTE	ILTY (RELATIVE TO OT	HER COURSES)	N/A	TOO SLOW	ABOUT RIGHT	TOO MUCH
19. DIFFICU	OAD/PACE WAS		N/A	● POOR	AVERAGE	EXCELLEN"
20. WUKKL	REQUIRED READINGS		N/A	POOR	AVERAGE	EXCELLEN
OR LIONIEV	NORK ASSIGNIVIEN IS		N/A	POOR	AVERAGE	EXCELLEN
22. HOIVIEV	D MATERIALS, EXAMI	NATIONS	○ N/A	POOR	AVERAGE	EXCELLEN
23. GRADE	RE PRESENTATIONS		○ N/A	POOR	AVERAGE	EXCELLEN
Z4. LECTU	DISCUSSIONS		O N/A	10011		were a state of
25. CLASS	Diococorona				SENITE ON THE	COURSE.

the presents the material very well and clearly. He is always willing to help others and is always available for office hours.

ORM A STANDARD) FINSTRUCTION	FRUUDAMINI		COL	leo.	E ID I	MLIM	MRE		
BACKGF	ROUND INFORMAT	ION	COURSE INFO	DRIVIATION	31	8	STREET, SQUARE,	ACCRECATION AND ADDRESS.	4 -	-9		D
1. Year in School					0 0	-	1	0	0	0	0	•
Freshman	Sophomore	Junior			1	1			7	1		
Senior	Graduate	Other	A.B. NOSSAR		2 2			2 2	2	2	2	2
2. UCLA GPA			INSTRU	LIOR	3			3	3	3	(3)	3
Below 2.0	2.0 - 2.49	2.5 - 2.99			4		4 4	4	4	4	4	
3.0 - 3.49	3.5 +	O Not established	COUR		5			5 5	5	5		5
. Expected Grade			COUR	iSE	6		1	6 6	6	6	6	6
	\bigcirc c \bigcirc D	() F	7131107		7	-	1 1	7 7	7	7	7	7
\bigcirc P \bigcirc NP	○ ?		DAT	E		3		8 8	8	8	8	8
I. What requireme	nts does this course		DAI			9 9		9 9	9		9	9
Major	Related Field	G.E. None							an Pulmonia			
	rant a local	USE NO. 2 PENCIL ONLY						_				/S
				evaluations prov	ide	ver				氰		wa
and the second second	nd candid evaluati e instructor to hel	n improve teachir	nd and they broy	lue illioilliation	for	흥울		Ē				A T
	I mus mantion	Summariae of the	nese evaluations	ale letuined to	the	<u> </u>	e la Carlo	age e				<u>=</u>
:tweeter and	to the denartmen	nt chair. Please I	oroviae inougnin	II and construct	tive	g 8		_ 5	age	ė	V	뚪
comments reaa	rding the instructo	r and course on th	e back of this shee	et.		Not Applicable Very Low or Never		Low or Infrequently	verage	liah or Freauently		Very High or Always
							1000					
To What 5. INSTRUCTO	Extent Do You Fe R CONCERN The	instructor was co	ncerned about stu	dent learning.	1	NA) (1	2	3 4	5	6 7	8	
C ODCANIZAT	ION Class preser	ntations were well	prepared and orga	anized.		NA 1	2	3 4	5	6 7	8	0
						/** /:		A 7:	100	67	0	4
7. INTERACTIO	N Students felt	welcome in seekin	g help in or outsid	e of class.		NA 1	2	3 4	5	6) (7	8	-
						NA 1	2	3 4	5	6 7	8	
8. COMMUNIC	ATION SKILLS T	ne instructor nad g	good commanicati	on skino.								
9. VALUE Yo	u have learned sor	mething which you	ı consider valuable	Э.		NA 1	2	3 4	5	6	8	•
	Your overall rating					NA 1	2	(3) (4	5	6)	8	
						NA 1	1 2	3 4	5	6	7 8	•
11. OVERALL	Your overall rating	of the course.				110		(3) 4	I E	6	7 8	(9)
12.						NA	1 2	3 .		0,0		
13.				and a second section of the second section of a section sectio		NA	1 2	3	4 5	6	7 8	9
						NA C	1 2	3	4 5	6	7 8	9
14.						400.7	4 0	3	4 5	6	7 8	9
15.						NA	1/3	3	4 3		5 32	
VOUR	IEW OF COURSE	CHARACTERIS	TICS				St. W.	N. Side			372 ₁ (a)	
16 SHDIECTIM	NTEREST BEFORE	COURSE	○ N/A	OLOW		ME	DIUN	Λ		HIG		
17 CHRIECTIN	NTEREST AFTER C	OURSE	○ N/A	O LOW		ME	DIUN	Λ		HIG		
10 MACTEDY	OF COURSE MATE	RIAL	N/A	LOW		ME	DIUI	Λ	4	HIC		
10. IVIASTERY	(RELATIVE TO OT	HER COURSES)	○ N/A	O LOW	4	ME				HIC		
20. WORKLOA		, imit 000110m0,	○ N/A	TOO SLO	W 🧶	AB	OUT	RIGH			O MU	
	QUIRED READINGS	3	O N/A	POOR	Ċ	AVE	ERAC	GΕ	***	EX		
			N/A	POOR		AVI	ERA	GΕ	-	EX		
22. HOMEWOR	RK ASSIGNMENTS	NATIONS	○ N/A	OPOOR		AVI	ERA	GE	- 6	EX	CELL	ENT
	IATERIALS, EXAMI	INATIONS	O N/A	OPOOR			ERA		-	EX	CELL	ENT
	PRESENTATIONS		O N/A	POOR	Č	AV	ERA	GE	- 4	EX	CELL	ENT
25. CLASS DIS	CUSSIONS		INA			-						

SPACE IS PROVIDED ON THE BACK OF THIS FORM FOR YOUR WRITTEN COMMENTS ON THE COURSE.

Professor Nasar is one of the BEST professors that I have had ever in my life. His enthusiasm and excitement for this class really motivated me and gave me a passion for physics. He seriously changed the way I view the world and how even thing works.

His input in the class was amazing and he will probably this input in the class was amazing and he will probably remain to be one of the BEST people that I have ever met. Thank you very much professor Nassar for ever met. Thank you very much professor Nassar for your day to day excitement and knowledge that you biought to this excitement and knowledge that you

ORM A STANDARD	EVALUATION O	F INSTRUCTION	V PROGRAM		OR STATE	\$105.CX \$45	2000			altic and a	
	OUND INFORMATION	COURSE INFO	DRMATION			SE I	THE RESERVE	CONTRACTOR		mental deservan	
Year in School				31	-		3 6		9	-	2
Freshman	Sophomore Junior	A			0		-		1	0	
Senior	Graduate Other	A.B. Nas	350V		1	1	1 1		2		1 2
2. UCLA GPA		INSTRU	CTOR		2		2 2		3		3
Below 2.0	2.0 - 2.49 2.5 - 2.99		1-0		3	3			4		4
3.0 - 3.49	3.5 + Not established	Physics	O FI		5	000	5		5	100	5
3. Expected Grade		COUL	ISE	000	6	1	6		6		6
○ А ○ В	OC OD OF		2007		7	1000	7		7		7
OP ONP	?	Physics cour July 31	100	8 8 0		8		3	8	20	8
4. What requiremen	nts does this course fulfill?	DAI		0 0	9	9		9		9	9
Major (Related Field G.E. None				NAME OF TAXABLE PARTY.			rowners	CANDRES OF	0.00	
	USE NO. 2 PENCIL ONLY	3				<u> </u>					sk
\/	d candid evaluation is important.	Student course	evaluations provide		evel	ent			at i		ew
C Ill - ale to tho	instructor to help improve teaching	g and they prov	ide information for	ble	Z	nbe		1 15 15	anto		A T
C It avaluatio	on and promotion Summaries of the	iese evaluations	are returned to the	<u>19</u>	5	ŧ		N.	Fre		ج د
instructor and	to the department chair. Please p	rovide thoughtit	and constituctive	g	Ē	ō		age	ò		Ē
comments regar	ding the instructor and course on the	back of this shee);.	Not Applicable	very Low or Never	Low or Infrequent		werage	High or Frequently		Very High or Always
To What	Extent Do You Feel That:		The second second second		W. Cr.						
5. INSTRUCTOR	CONCERN The instructor was con	cerned about stu	dent learning.	NA	1) (2	3)	(4) (5) (6) (I)	8	
6. ORGANIZATI	ON Class presentations were well p	orepared and org	anized.	NA	1 2	(3)	4)	5) (6	7	8	0
				N/A	1 2	3	4	5 6	5 7	8	A
7. INTERACTION	N Students felt welcome in seeking	help in or outsid	e of class.	NA	J (., . 3 /	(#/\)	3/ (y (5)	3	
8. COMMUNICA	ATION SKILLS The instructor had g	ood communicat	on skills.	NA	1) (2	2 3	4	5	5 7	8	0
9 VALUE YOU	ı have learned something which you	consider valuable	Э.	NA	1)	2 3	4	5	6 7	8	
				NA	1) (1)	2 3	4	5	6 7	8	
10. OVERALL	Your overall rating of the instructor.										_
11. OVERALL	Your overall rating of the course.			NA	(1) (2 3	4	5	3) (7)	8	
12.				NA	1)(2 3	4	5	6 7	8	9)
10				NA	(i) (2 3	4	5	6 7	8	9)
13.										Val	(2)
14.				NA	(1) (2 3	4	5	6) 7	8	9
15.				NA	1	2 3	4	5	6 7	8	9)
			The state of the s			No.		top at t		(A) (A)	
YOUR VI	EW OF COURSE CHARACTERIST	ICS	LOW	₽ M	EDIL	JM			HIGH	+	
	TEREST BEFORE COURSE	O N/A	O LOW	, -	EDIL				HIGH		
	TEREST AFTER COURSE	N/A N/A	LOW		EDIL			_	HIGH		
	F COURSE MATERIAL	N/A	LOW		EDIL			0	HIGH	Н	
	(RELATIVE TO OTHER COURSES)	N/A	TOO SLOW	-		TRIC	ТН		тоо	MU	JCH
20. WORKLOAD		N/A	POOR	400	VER/				EXC	ELLE	ENT
	UIRED READINGS	N/A	POOR		VER/			0	EXC	ELLE	ENT
	K ASSIGNMENTS	O N/A	POOR	A	VERA	AGE		0	EXC	ELLE	ENT
	ATERIALS, EXAMINATIONS	N/A	POOR	Ő A	VERA	AGE			EXC	ELLE	ENT
24. LECTURE PF 25. CLASS DISC		O N/A	POOR	A	VERA	AGE			EXC	ELLI	ENT
25. CLASS DISC	JUSSIUNS	. 4// -									

Professor Nassar was one of
the best professor; I have had! He really
puts his heart into his teaching. He is very
puts his neart into his teaching. He is very
concerned about his students progress in the clais. He
makes us feel very welcome to come to his office
makes us feel very welcome to come to his office
hours. He explains the material very well.
He really makes us understand the material.
Professor Nowsar is very enthusiastic when
Professor Nowsar is very enthusiastic when
he is teaching and makes the material
he is teaching and makes the material
to coming to class!

ORM A STANDARD	EVALUATION O	F INSTRUCTION	PROGRAM	001	RSE ID	NU U	MDE	-	
BACKGE	ROUND INFORMATION	COURSE INFO	RMATION	THE RESIDENCE OF STREET	ACCOUNT OF THE PARTY OF	make a second or	- 9		0
Year in School			l lene	3 1 8	0 3	-	0		
Freshman	Sophomore Junior	AD NAC	710		1 1		1		1
Senior	Graduate Other	INSTRUC		1 2 2 2	2 2		2		2
2. UCLA GPA			TOR	3 3	3	3	3		3
Below 2.0	2.0 - 2.49	physics	6A	4 4 4	4 4		4	4	4
3.0 - 3.49	3.5 + Not established	COURS	0.1	5 5 5	5 5	-	5	5	5
3. Expected Grade		COURS	,	6 6 6	6 6	6	6	6	6
♠ A	OC OD OF	7/3/0	\mathcal{M}	7 7 7	7	7 7	7	7	7
OP ONP	○ ?	DATE	:	8 8	8	8	8	8	8
4. What requireme	nts does this course fulfill?	DATE		9 9 9	9	9 9	4	9	9
Major (Related Field G.E. None								
	USE NO. 2 PENCIL ONLY			_	riy Liy		in Sept	>	Very High or Always
Vful on	nd candid evaluation is important.	Student course e	valuations provide	e eve	or Infrequently		1		A S
				ab z	lbe ed				-
				plic w o		o o			igh
	to the department chair. Please D	movide inoughtion	und oomen	lot Applicable fery Low or Never	Ş	rag		ign or Frequency	Ŧ
comments rega	rding the instructor and course on the	a nack of this sheet		Not Applicable Very Low or Ne	Lo M	Ave		5) E	Ver
To What	Extent Do You Feel That: R CONCERN The instructor was cor	ncerned about stud	dent learning.	NA 1	2 3	4 5	6	7 8	
				NA 1	2 3	4 5	6	7 8	
	ION Class presentations were well			NA C					_
7 INTERACTIO	N Students felt welcome in seeking	g help in or outside	e of class.	NA 1	2 3	4 5	6	7 8	
				NA 1	2 3	4 5	6	7 8	•
8. COMMUNIC	ATION SKILLS The instructor had g	good communication	on skills.	100				Sant Nile	
9 VALUE YO	ou have learned something which you	consider valuable		NA 1	2 3	4 5	6	7 8	•
				NA 1	2 3	4 5	6	7 8	
10. OVERALL	Your overall rating of the instructor.			20. 2	2 3	4.5		7 8	
11. OVERALL	. Your overall rating of the course.			NA 1	2)(3)	4 / 5	0	1 8	
12.				NA ·	2 (3)	4	6	7 8	9
16.				NA (1 2 3	4 !	6	7 8	9
13.					1 2 3		5 6	7 (8	3 9
14.									
15.				NA	1 2 3	4	5 6	7 8	9
10.									
						3h 40			No. Sec.
YOUR \	/IEW OF COURSE CHARACTERIST	TICS N/A	O LOW	○ ME	DIUM		Н	GH	
16. SUBJECT I	NTEREST BEFORE COURSE	O N/A	Low		DIUM	(Э Н	GH	
17. SUBJECT I	NTEREST AFTER COURSE	N/A N/A	LOW	ME	DIUM	(Э Н	IGH	
18. MASTERY	OF COURSE MATERIAL	O N/A	LOW	ME	DIUM			IGH	
19. DIFFICULT	Y (RELATIVE TO OTHER COURSES)	Ő N/A	TOO SLOW	АВ	OUT RIC				1UCH
20. WORKLOA	D/PACE WAS	N/A	POOR	AV	ERAGE		400		LENT
21. TEXTS, RE	QUIRED READINGS	N/A	POOR	AV	ERAGE				LENT
22. HOMEWOR	RK ASSIGNMENTS	N/A	POOR	O AV	ERAGE				LENT
23. GRADED N	MATERIALS, EXAMINATIONS	O N/A	POOR	O AV	ERAGE				LEN
	PRESENTATIONS	O N/A	OPOOR	O AV	ERAGE		● E	XCEL	LENT
25. CLASS DIS	CU22ION2	And The Control of th							

Or. Nassar 15 the best teacher I have ever had in my life. He is one of the few teachers I know who knows how to teach very well not just lecture. He was very knowledgable and very concerned about his students. He made a hard topic such as physics, extremely easy to understand be cause he can teach so well. I truly uish he could feach the whole physics Series. I used to hate physics before, but Dr. Nassa integrated real life applications into his lectures. He falked about art, history, and Other topics a person wouldn't normally associate with physics. bo Dr. NASSAV//