FORM A STANDARD BACKGROUND INFORMATION 1. Year in School Freshman Senior 2. UCLA GPA Below 2.0 3.0 - 3.49 3. Expected Grade В A NP P (

Major

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES **EVALUATION OF INSTRUCTION PROGRAM**

OF INSTRUCTION THE STREET
COURSE INFORMATION
Control of the Contro
A.B NASSAR
INSTRUCTOR
Physics 6A course
COURSE
08-31-07
DATE
DATE

	0	(9)	Lit	73	LD.	IV.	IVII	BEF		35/2
3	100,000	8	_	0	3	4	-	9	1	0
0	0	0		0	0	0		0	0	9
1		1		(1)	1	1		1	0	1
2	2	2		2	2	2		2	2	2
à	3	3		3	0	3		3	3	3
4	4	4		4	4	9		4	4	4
5	5	5		5	5	5		5	5	5
6	6	6		6	6	6		6	6	6
7	7	7		7	7	7		7	7	7
8	8	(3)		8	8	8		8	8	8
9	9	9		9	9	9	1	0	9	9

	Your careful and candid evaluation is important. Student course evaluations provide feedback to the instructor to help improve teaching and they provide information for faculty evaluation and promotion. Summaries of these evaluations are returned to the instructor and to the department chair. Please provide thoughtful and constructive comments regarding the instructor and course on the back of this sheet.	MALL AND LANGOOD CONTRACTOR OF THE PERSONS AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSONS AND A
To What Extent Do You Feel That: 5. INSTRUCTOR CONCERN The instructor was concerned about student learning.	To What Extent Do You Feel That: 5. INSTRUCTOR CONCERN The instructor was concerned about student learning.	F

Junior

Other

2.5 - 2.99

Not established

None

Sophomore

Graduate

2.0 - 2.49

OC OD

G.E.

3.5 +

?

Related Field

4. What requirements does this course fulfill?

Your careful and candid evaluation is important. Student course evaluations provide feedback to the instructor to help improve teaching and they provide information for faculty evaluation and promotion. Summaries of these evaluations are returned to the instructor and to the department chair. Please provide thoughtful and constructive comments regarding the instructor and course on the back of this sheet.	Not Applicable	Very Low of INE		Low or Infreque		Average	1	High or rreque		Very High or Al
To What Extent Do You Feel That:	NA		2	3	4	5	6	7)	8	9
5. INSTRUCTOR CONCERN The instructor was concerned about student learning.		200			7				0	40
6. ORGANIZATION Class presentations were well prepared and organized.	NA	1	2	3	4	5	ь	0	0	A.
	NA	1)	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
7. INTERACTION Students felt welcome in seeking help in or outside of class.										
8. COMMUNICATION SKILLS The instructor had good communication skills.	NA	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
	NΔ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	20
9. VALUE You have learned something which you consider valuable.										
	NA	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
10. OVERALL Your overall rating of the instructor.	NA	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	0
11. OVERALL Your overall rating of the course.	INA	1,	-			- 2				
	NA	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
12.		-				-	6	7	8	9
13.	NA.	. 1	2	3	4	ಿ	0		<u>ٽ</u>	
io.	NA	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
14.										
	N	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8) (9
15.										

16. SUBJECT INTEREST BEFORE COURSE 17. SUBJECT INTEREST AFTER COURSE 18. MASTERY OF COURSE MATERIAL 19. DIFFICULTY (RELATIVE TO OTHER COURSES) 20. WORKLOAD/PACE WAS 21. TEXTS, REQUIRED READINGS 22. HOMEWORK ASSIGNMENTS 23. GRADED MATERIALS, EXAMINATIONS 24. LECTURE PRESENTATIONS 25. CLASS DISCUSSIONS	LOW LOW LOW TOO SLOW POOR POOR POOR POOR POOR	MEDIUM MEDIUM MEDIUM ABOUT RIGHT AVERAGE AVERAGE AVERAGE AVERAGE AVERAGE AVERAGE	HIGH HIGH TOO MUCH EXCELLENT EXCELLENT EXCELLENT EXCELLENT EXCELLENT
---	---	--	--

PLEASE IDENTIFY WHAT YOU PERCEIVE TO BE THE REAL STRENGTHS AND WEAKNESSES OF THIS INSTRUCTOR AND COURSE.

Dr. Nassar is one of the best teachers I have ever had. He makes a boning subject come to life.

I couldn't have chosen a more dedicated and coming professor. I wish I could take him for not only every physics class but every science class.

He is amazing, as a teacher and as a person.

He's the best!!!

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELLES **EVALUATION OF INSTRUCTION PROGRAM** COURSE ID NUMBER FORM A STANDARD COURSE INFORMATION BACKGROUND INFORMATION 0 0 0 1. Year in School 0 0 A.B. NASPAR, Junior 0 1 1 Sophomore 1 1 1 Freshman Other 2 Graduate 2 2 2 2 2 Senior 2 2 INSTRUCTOR 3 3 3 3 3 3 2. UCLA GPA 25-2.99 4 PHYSICS 6A 4 2.0 - 2.49 Δ 4 4 4 4 Below 2.0 4 Not 5 5 5 3.5 + 5 5 established 5 5 5 3.0 - 3.49COURSE 6 6 6 6 3. Expected Grade 6 6 6 04/30/07 F 7 7 7 D C 7 7 7 8 8 8 NP 8 8 8 8 P DATE 4. What requirements does this course fulfill? 9 9 9 9 9 None Related Field Major High or Always USE NO. 2 PENCIL ONLY Your careful and candid evaluation is important. Student course evaluations provide or Nev icable feedback to the instructor to help improve teaching and they provide information for faculty evaluation and promotion. Summaries of these evaluations are returned to the instructor and to the department chair. Please provide thoughtful and constructive 5 comments regarding the instructor and course on the back of this sheet. To What Extent Do You Feel That: (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7) (8) 5. INSTRUCTOR CONCERN . . The instructor was concerned about student learning. 4 5 6 7 8 3 6. ORGANIZATION . . Class presentations were well prepared and organized. 4 5 7. INTERACTION . . Students felt welcome in seeking help in or outside of class. 5 6 4 NA 1 2 3 8. COMMUNICATION SKILLS . . The instructor had good communication skills. VALUE . . You have learned something which you consider valuable. 3 10. OVERALL . . Your overall rating of the instructor. 4 5 11. OVERALL .. Your overall rating of the course. 6 7 8 9 4 5 NA 1 2 3 12. 3 13. 4 5 14. 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 15.

YOUR VIEW OF COURSE CHARACTERISTICS HIGH MEDIUM LOW 16. SUBJECT INTEREST BEFORE COURSE N/A HIGH **MEDIUM** LOW N/A 17. SUBJECT INTEREST AFTER COURSE M HIGH MEDIUM LOW N/A 18. MASTERY OF COURSE MATERIAL HIGH MEDIUM LOW 19. DIFFICULTY (RELATIVE TO OTHER COURSES) N/A TOO MUCH ABOUT RIGHT TOO SLOW N/A **EXCELLENT** 20. WORKLOAD/PACE WAS AVERAGE POOR N/A 21. TEXTS, REQUIRED READINGS EXCELLENT AVERAGE POOR N/A 22. HOMEWORK ASSIGNMENTS EXCELLENT AVERAGE POOR 23. GRADED MATERIALS, EXAMINATIONS N/A EXCELLENT **AVERAGE** POOR N/A EXCELLENT 24. LECTURE PRESENTATIONS **AVERAGE** POOR N/A 25. CLASS DISCUSSIONS

thank you I thought that because I didn't take At Physics in high school, I would struggle in calculus-based physics at a college level.

- Great lectures / demonstrations
- very organized
- promptly graded exams
- Sincerely cares about his students
- Very available
- Wante physics to apply to students TO REALLY LEARN, NOT MEMORIZE 2 involvement in everyday experience

	U	VIVERSITY OF C	INSTRUCTION P	ROGRAM						1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
RM A STANDARD			COURSE INFORM	MATION	No. of Concession, Name of Street, or other Persons, or other Pers	JRSE	ID I		BE	R	
BACKGR	OUND INFORMATIO	N	GOONGE IN ON	3	18	- 0	1 4	4-	- 9		0
Year in School		Junior		0	0 0			0	0		1
Freshman	Sophomore	Other	A.B. Wassar		(D) 1			1 2	2		2
Senior	Graduate	Other	INSTRUCTO		2 2	3	3 (9)	3	3		(3)
. UCLA GPA	2.0 - 2.49	2.5 - 2.99			3 3		4 4	4	4		4
Below 2.0	3.5 +	Not established	Physics 6 course	<u>H</u> 4	5 5		5 5	5	5	5	5
3.0 - 3.49	0.5 1	established	COURSE	6			6 6	6	6	6	6
Expected Grade	() C () D	() F	7/31/2007				7 7	7	7	7	7
D NP	?		DATE		8 @		8 8	8	8		8
What requireme	nts does this course fu	Ifill?	DATE		9 9		9 9	9	e di	9	9)
Major ©	Related Field G.	E. None			00000000000000000000000000000000000000		il ox		Sines		
											<u>s</u>
	(141) = 141°	USE NO. 2 PENCIL ONLY	Marketta	· ido	7	Ţ	É			of the	Wal
vful or	nd candid evaluatio	_	Student course eva	aluations provide	<u>e</u>		dne			ne	r Al
foodback to the	e instructor to help	Improvo to	- Justiane ar	e returned to the	icab		ıfre			rec	<u> </u>
faculty evaluati	ion and promotion.	-lair Plance ni	rovide thoughtful	and constructive	ldd	5	or I	erage		<u>o</u>	Ę
instructor and	ion and promotion. to the department arding the instructor	and course on the	back of this sheet.		Not Applicable	/ery Low of Nevel	ow or Infrequently	vera		High or Frequently	Very High or Always
comments rega	arding the instructor	and oodies						4			
To What	Extent Do You Fe	el That:	cerned about stude	ent learning.	NA	1 2	3	5	6	7) (8	3
5. INSTRUCTO	R CONCERN The	instructor was con	Cerrica about					N 45		7	0 0
	rion Class presen	tations were well r	prepared and organ	ized.	NA	1 2	3 (1 5	0	U 6	
6. ORGANIZAT	ION Class present	tations word in	•		NA.	1 2	3	4 5	6	7	8 🗐
TO ACTIO	ON Students felt w	elcome in seeking	help in or outside	of class.	NA	1 -		2/ 5/			
7. INTERACTION	JN., Stadonie vers			- skille	NA	1 2	3	4 5	-6	9	8 (9)
o COMMUNIO	CATION SKILLS Th	e instructor had g	ood communicatio	n skills.							
6. COMMO			idor valuable		NA	1 2	3	4 5	6	7	9 9
9. VALUE Y	ou have learned son	nething which you	consider valuable.				- 70				9
				and the second s	NA	1 3	3	4 5	6	7	8 9
10. OVERALL.	. Your overall rating	of the instructor.						4 5	5 6	100	8 9
	. Your overall rating	of the course.			NA	1	2 3	3	,	1	
11. OVERALL	Your overall rating				NA	1	2 3	4	5 6	7	8 9
40					1						
12.					NA.	1 1	2 3	4	5 6	7	8 9
13.											
13.					N	4 (1)	2 3	4	5	6 7	8 9
14.											0 0
					N	A (1)	2 3	4	5	8, 7,	8 9
15.											
VALIB	VIEW OF COURS	CHARACTERIS	TICS	⊗ LOW .		MEDI	UM			HIGH	+
16 SLIB JECT	INTEREST BEFORE	COURSE	14/1	LOW		MEDI				HIGH	4
17 SUBJECT	INTEREST AFTER C	OURSE	O N/A	LOW	8	MEDI	UM			HIGH	
40 MACTER	V OF COURSE MATE	RIAL	N/A N/A	LOW		MED			-	HIGI	
19. DIFFICUL	TY (RELATIVE TO 01	HER COURSES)	N/A	TOO SLOW			JT RI	GHT			MUCH
20 WORKLO	AD/PACE WAS		N/A	POOR	400		RAGE				ELLENT
21 TEXTS, F	REQUIRED READING	5	N/A	POOR			RAGE				CELLENT CELLENT
22. HOMEW	ORK ASSIGNMENTS	INIATIONS	○ N/A	POOR			RAGE				CELLEN
23. GRADED	MATERIALS, EXAM	IIVALIONO	○ N/A	POOR	465		RAGE		-		CELLEN
24. LECTURI	E PRESENTATIONS		○ N/A	OPOOR	62	AVE	AGE		N. Nicol		
25. CLASS E	SCUSSIONS				an a c N	TC (ד ואר	HE	CO	UR	SE.

Professor Massar is through obessing. The last time I took this

course, I received on F. 1000, I have a B. in the class
before the final, and got an H- on my lecture midtem.

The tolerand discussions, and effice hours everyday.

I go to received, discussions, and effice hours everyday.

He is the reason my work ethic is roming alive again,

his way of teaching and personal attention is

his way of teaching and personal attention is

That attimately, relief the final the morrisation

	U	FIVALUATION O	F INSTRUCTION P	ROGRAM	S			T. T.	110/1	5			
RM A STANDARD			COURSE INFORM	MATION	COL	STATE OF THE PARTY.							
STATE OF THE PARTY	OUND INFORMATION			2	18	- (0 3			(0)	0	0	
Year in School	Sophomore	Junior	100016			1 1	1 (1			1	-	1	
Freshman	Graduate	Other	A.B. Nass	AY			2 2	1		2	2	2	
Senior	Graduate		INSTRUCTO				3	-		3	(3)	3	
UCLA GPA	2.0 - 2.49	2.5 - 2.99	PNUSICS G	A I			4 4	-		4	4	4	
Below 2.0 3.0 - 3.49	3.5 +	Not established	bridges				5 5			5	5	5	
Expected Grade	0.0	established	COURSE				6 6	6		6	6	6	
A B	\bigcirc C \bigcirc D	○ F	JULY 3/12	007			7 7	7 7	,	7	7	7	1
O P O NP	○ ?				8 8		8 8	8 8	3	8	8	8	
What requiremen	ts does this course fu	alfill?	DATE		9 9 9		9 9	9	9	6	9	9	
Major 💮	Related Field G	S.E. None					NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY O						
							_			200		Ş	
		USE NO. 2 PENCIL ONL	Y MANAGEMENT OF THE STATE OF TH	duations provide			ow or Infrequently			É		lery High or Alway	
Your careful and	d candid evaluatio	on is important.	Student course eva	e information for	p e		킁					A T	
feedback to the	instructor to help	, improvo	ula an avaluations are	e returned to the	ica		of the				5	4	
faculty evaluation	on and promotion.	t chair Please	provide thoughtful	and constructive	ldd		- - -		rerage		5	岩	
instructor and	to the departmen	and course on th	ne back of this sheet.		Not Applicable		3		Ver	itab or Eventient	5	e	
									A .	A NLOS			
To What I	Extent Do You Fe	el That:	ancerned about stude	ent learning.	NA (1) (2)	3	4	5	6	7) (8	3) 🥞	
INSTRUCTOR	R CONCERN The	Instructor was co	oncerned about stude					_	725.7	<u> </u>	207		BA.
A STATE OF THE STA	Class presen	stations were wel	I prepared and organ	ized.	NA	1) 2	3	4	5	6	03		3
6. ORGANIZATI	ON Class present	tutions (ver		C 1	NΔ	1 2	3	4	5	6	7)	8	D
7 INTERACTIO	N Students felt v	velcome in seekir	ng help in or outside	of class.									
					NA	1 2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
			good communication			West 20	· · · ·	1		0	7	0	1
_\\\\	have learned sor	nething which yo	ou consider valuable.		NA	1 2	. 3	4	5	0	<u>.</u>	ं च	
					NA	(1) (2	2 3	4	5	6	7	8	0
10. OVERALL	Your overall rating	of the instructor											
	. Your overall rating	n of the course.			NA	1)	2 3	4	5	6	1)	8 1	100
11. OVERALL	Your overall racing	,			NA	(1)	2 3	4	5	6	7	8	9
12.	Manufacture of the Control of the Co											4.1	
1 best					NA	1	2 3	4	5	6	7	8	9
13.					61/	(1)	2 3	3 4	5	6	7	8	9
14.													
					N/	(1)	2 3	3 4	5	6	7	8	9
15.													
		- OLIA BA OTERU	CTICS								and the second		5/2
YOUR	VIEW OF COURS	COURSE	N/A	O LOW	•	/EDI					HGH		
16. SUBJECT I	NTEREST BEFORE	COUNSE	○ N/A	O LOW		ЛEDI			40		HGH		
17. SUBJECT I	INTEREST AFTER C	JOURSE	N/A	LOW		MEDI					HIGH		
18. MASTERY	OF COURSE MATE	THER COLLEGES!		OLOW		MEDI					HIGH TOO		10
19. DIFFICULT	Y (RELATIVE TO OT	I TEN COONSES	N/A	TOO SLOW		ABOL			11				
20. WORKLOA	AD/PACE WAS		○ N/A	POOR		AVER			2		EXC EXC		
21. TEXTS, RE	QUIRED READING	3	N/A	POOR		AVEF							
22. HOMEWO	RK ASSIGNMENTS	O ATIONS	O N/A	POOR		AVEF					EXC EXC		
											- X (-11	- [
23. GRADED N	MATERIALS, EXAM PRESENTATIONS	IINATIONS	○ N/A	OPOOR		avef avef	RAGE				EXC		

25. CLASS DISCUSSIONS

PLEASE IDENTIFY WHAT YOU PERCEIVE TO BE THE REAL STRENGTHS AND WEAKNESSES OF THIS INSTRUCTOR AND COURSE.

Professor Massar was postfively frantastic. His true passion for his work coupled with an upbont personality & interesting inclass tomonstrations made physics not only bearable, but also enjoyable.

Profossor Norsar is the arrage most animated teacher I have ever had a 1 mi lucky to have taken physics with him.

UNIVERSITY OF	F INSTRUCTION PROGRAM	1			delining.	fundamin.		1000	1
	COURSE INFORMATION		COURS						
BACKGROUND INFORMATION		31	30	034	77	9		0	
1. Year in School Sonhomore Junior		0 0			0	0	0	1	
Freshman	A.B. Nassar	_ 1	1		2	1 2	2	2	
Senior	INSTRUCTOR	2 2	2		3	3	3	3	
2. UCLA GPA Relow 2.0	place (CA	3		4 4	3	4	4	4	
Below 2.0 2.0 - 2.49 Not established	[NYS/C3 6F]	- 4 4 5 5		5 5	5	5	5	5	
3. Expected Grade	COURSE	6 6		6 6	6	6	6	6	
A B C D F	7/21/67	7 7		7 7	7	7	7	7	
OP ONP O?	// 3/1 O/	- 88		8 8	8	8	8	8	
4. What requirements does this course fulfill?	DATE	9 9	9	9 9	9	0	9	9	
Major Related Field G.E. None						en annual an		-	200
								(0	
use No. 2 PENCIL ON	Yannu nen		7.5	ŧ		E		vay	
	Chudent course evaluations	provide		Pe .		<u> </u>	N. W.	High or Alway	
Your careful and candid evaluation is important. feedback to the instructor to help improve teach	ing and they provide informat	tion for	an or N	red				è	
feedback to the instructor to help improve teach faculty evaluation and promotion. Summaries of	these evaluations are returned	tructive		E	<u>ə</u>	i		盲	
		Stidotivo	Not Applicable Very Low or Never	ow or Infrequently	eraç	Treduction()		두	
comments regarding the instructor and course on t	ne back of this sheet.		Not Ver	Š	Ž			8	
S V Fod That:			VA 1 2	3 4	5	6	7 8	0	NAME OF TAXABLE PARTY.
5. INSTRUCTOR CONCERN The instructor was concern to the instru	oncerned about student learning	g.	20112000	/ NS/ NW					
			NA 1 2	3 4	5	6	7 ε	1)
6. ORGANIZATION Class presentations were we	I prepared and organized.								
			NA 1 2	3 4	5	6	7 8	3	
7. INTERACTION Students felt welcome in seeki	ng help in or outside or oldes.							-	
8. COMMUNICATION SKILLS The instructor had			NA 1 2	3 4	5	6	7	В	7
8. COMMUNICATION SKILLS The instructor had	9000 00							0	
9. VALUE You have learned something which yo	ou consider valuable.		NA 1 2	2 3 4	5	6	. ()	0 0	
9. VALUE You have learned something was a			and of the st	2 3	1 5	6	7	8	9
10. OVERALL Your overall rating of the instructor	•		NA 1	2 3 .	+ 3				
			NA 1	2 3	4 5	6	7	8	
11. OVERALL Your overall rating of the course.			100	Tr series					
TI. OVERALE TO THE			NA 1	2 3	4 5	6	7	8	9
12.									
			NA 1	2 3	4 5	6	7	8	9
13.									,,,,,,
			NA 1	2 3	4 5	6	7	8	9
14.							-		0
			NA 1	2 3	4	6		8	9
15.									
YOUR VIEW OF COURSE CHARACTERI	STICS LOV		MEDI	UM		Н	IGH	The second second	
16 SUBJECT INTEREST BEFORE COURSE	INA		MEDI		4	Э Н	IGH		
17 SUBJECT INTEREST AFTER COURSE	10//		MEDI		4	• H	IGH		
MASTERY OF COURSE MATERIAL	14//		MEDI				IIGH		
19. DIFFICULTY (RELATIVE TO OTHER COURSES)	18/0	O SLOW	🕽 АВОЦ	JT RIG	HT		00		
20. WORKLOAD/PACE WAS	N/A POC		AVER	AGE		E			
21. TEXTS, REQUIRED READINGS	N/A PO	OR	AVER			∅ E			
22. HOMEWORK ASSIGNMENTS	N/A PO	OR	AVEF			D E			
23. GRADED MATERIALS, EXAMINATIONS	N/A PO	OR	AVEF			9 E			
24. LECTURE PRESENTATIONS	O N/A O PO	OR	AVEF	RAGE			EXC	CLL	_141

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, 200 ANGLE

25. CLASS DISCUSSIONS

S)5185

PLEASE IDENTIFY WHAT YOU PERCEIVE TO BE THE REAL STRENGTHS AND WEAKNESSES OF THIS INSTRUCTOR AND COURSE.

Dr. Nassar is on incredible Protessor. For ad alway the best protessor the had at ucla. In discussions with other classmates, we all opped that it was his teaching and approach to the coarse which make it so valuable.

An invaluable asset to UCLA.